

1 SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP
A Limited Liability Partnership
2 Including Professional Corporations
NEIL A.F. POPOVIĆ, Cal. Bar No. 132403
3 npopovic@sheppardmullin.com
ANNA S. McLEAN, Cal. Bar No. 142233
4 amclean@sheppardmullin.com
DAVID E. SNYDER, Cal. Bar No. 262001
5 dsnyder@sheppardmullin.com
LIEN H. PAYNE, Cal. Bar No. 291569
6 lpayne@sheppardmullin.com
Four Embarcadero Center, 17th Floor
7 San Francisco, California 94111-4109
Telephone: 415.434.9100
8 Facsimile: 415.434.3947

9 Attorneys for Defendant
SEAGATE TECHNOLOGY LLC

11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
12 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
13 SAN JOSE DIVISION

14 CHRISTOPHER A. NELSON, individually
15 and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

16 Plaintiff,

17 v.

18 SEAGATE TECHNOLOGY LLC,

19 Defendant.

Case No. 5:16-cv-00523-RMW
CLASS ACTION

**JOINT STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
ORDER REGARDING BRIEFING
SCHEDULE FOR MOTION TO DISMISS
THE COMPLAINT PURSUANT TO CIVIL
LOCAL RULE 7-12**

Complaint filed: February 1, 2016

1 This Joint Stipulation is made by and between plaintiff Christopher A. Nelson (“Plaintiff”)
2 and Seagate Technology LLC (“Defendant”), by and through their respective undersigned counsel
3 of record, with reference to the following facts:

4 **RECITALS**

- 5 1. WHEREAS Plaintiff filed his Complaint on February 1, 2016;
6 2. WHEREAS Plaintiff served the Complaint on Defendant on February 3, 2016;
7 3. WHEREAS the parties agreed to extend Seagate’s time to respond to the
8 Complaint until April 6, 2016;
9 4. WHEREAS, Defendant filed its Motion to Dismiss the Complaint (“Motion to
10 Dismiss”) on April 6, 2016;
11 5. WHEREAS, pursuant to the Standing Order Regarding Case Management in Civil
12 Cases for the United States District Court for the Northern District of California (“Standing
13 Order”), counsel for the parties conferred regarding a hearing date;
14 6. WHEREAS, pursuant to the instructions in the Standing Order regarding
15 scheduling hearings before Judge Whyte, the parties stipulated to a hearing date of Friday, June 3,
16 at 9 a.m.;
17 7. WHEREAS, counsel for the parties stipulated that Plaintiff’s opposition to the
18 Motion to Dismiss will be due on May 4, 2016;
19 7. WHEREAS, counsel for the parties stipulated that Defendant’s reply will be due on
20 May 20, 2016.

21 **STIPULATION**

- 22 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between the parties, through their counsel, that:
23 (1) the hearing for Defendant’s Motion to Dismiss is set for Friday, June 3, at 9 a.m.,
24 (2) Plaintiff’s opposition to the Motion to Dismiss will be due on May 4, 2016, and
25 (3) Defendant’s reply will be due on May 20, 2016.

26 The parties agree to comply with this Stipulation and Order pending the Court’s approval.

27 ///

28 ///

1 Dated: April 7, 2016

SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP

2
3 By /s/ Anna S. McLean
ANNA S. McLEAN

4 Attorneys for Defendants
5 SEAGATE TECHNOLOGY LLC

6 I, Anna McLean, whose user ID and password are used to efile this document, hereby attest that,
7 pursuant to Local Rule 5.1(i)(3), concurrence in this filing was obtained from each of the other
8 Signatories, in lieu of each's signature.

9
10 Dated: April 7, 2016

HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP

11
12 By /s/Jeff D. Friedman
JEFF D. FRIEDMAN

13 Attorneys for Plaintiffs and Proposed Class

14
15
16 Dated: April 7, 2016

AXLER GOLDICH LLC

17
18 By /s/ Marc A. Goldich
MARC A. GOLDICH (*Pro Hac Vice*)

19 Attorneys for Plaintiffs and Proposed Class

20
21
22 **PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.**

23
24 Dated:

The Honorable Ronald M. Whyte
United States Senior District Judge